

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,419	08/08/2001	Eran Shpak	1777/3	9927	
7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER			
DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. c/o BILL POLKINGHORN 9003 FLORIN WAY			LE, VIET Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
UPPRMARLBO	ORO, MD 20772		2667		
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/923	,419	SHPAK, ERAN					
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Viet Q.	Le	2667					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIGN SO THIS COMMUNION INSIGN SO THIS COMMUNION INSIGN SO THE PROPERTY SO THE PRO	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. of days, a reply within the stutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>08 August 20</i>	01.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	-								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	<u> </u>								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
=	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority of)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority docum	ments have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	, ,								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	50.040	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	. 5. 55. 55)	6) Other:	• •	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination.

Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit replacement drawing sheets will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. On page 1, line 25 of the specification, there is a discrepancy between figure 1 and the specification. Specification said 74 bytes in packet 10 while packet 10 in figure 1 is only 68 bytes long.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,419 Page 3

Art Unit: 2667

b. On page 6, line 5 of the specification, there is a discrepancy between figure 3 and the specification. Specification said 74 bytes in super packet 40 while super packet 40 in figure 3 is 80 bytes long.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rajeev Koodli (U.S. 6,608,841), hereinafter referred to as Rajeev.

Regarding claim 1, Rajeev disclosed a method of transmitting a plurality of voice communications from respective end points to an access point (See figure 1. See column 4, lines 66-67; column 5, lines 1-9. Network can be wireless network comprising of all necessary network elements used in wireless network like the gateway or/and access point. See column 4, lines 55-58. Network will support VoIP over the data network.), comprising the steps of: providing a point-to-multipoint network operative to send packets from the end points to the access point (See figure 1. See column 4, lines

Art Unit: 2667

66-67; column 5, lines 1-9. Access point is part of the wireless network associated with link 10. See column 5, lines 15-20. Multiple hosts or multiple end points and combined and compressed at the headers and sent to the other end of the wireless network. The other end can be a access point before traffic are distributed further to all the remote end points); for each end point: negotiating a respective alias with the access point (See column 5, lines 47-49. Alias or host ID is part of the header negotiated between end points and link 10 which is a wireless network or access point), and configuring the respective voice communication as a voice payload (See figure 2A-2B); concatenating a single super packet header with said alias and with said voice payloads to form a super packet and sending said super packet to the access point via said point to multipoint network (See figures 1, 2A, 2B. See column 5, lines 21-40. See column 5, lines 47-49. Alias or host ID is part of the header negotiated between end points and link 10, which is a wireless network or access point.).

Regarding claim 3, Rajeev disclosed the method, further comprising the step of: at the access point receiving said super packet (See figure 1. See column 5, lines 21-40. See column 5, lines 47-49. Access point is part of the link 10 or the wireless network receiving the super frame traffic from the sources.); and unbundling said super packet into a plurality of received packets (See figure 1. See column 5, lines 21-40. See column 5, lines 47-49. Access point is part of the link 10 or the wireless network receiving the super frame traffic from the sources and un-bundle these traffic to the intended end receivers), each said received packet corresponding to a respective said

Art Unit: 2667

voice packet (See column 5, lines 47-49), each said received packet including a header configured according to said respective alias (See figures 1, 2A, 2B).

Regarding claim 5, Rajeev disclosed the method, wherein said super packet header is an Ethernet header (See column 4, lines 44-45. See figure 2A).

Regarding claim 6, Rajeev disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said aliases are interleaved with said voice packets in said super packet (See figures 1, 2A, 2B. See column 5, lines 21-40. See column 5, lines 47-49. Alias or host ID is part of the header negotiated between end points and link 10 which is a wireless network or access point).

Regarding claim 7, Rajeev disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said super packet header includes a type field that indicates that said super packet header is followed by said voice packets (See figure 2A-2B).

Regarding claim 8, Rajeev disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein each said alias includes a respective station ID, and wherein, for each end point, said negotiating of said respective alias includes negotiating said respective station ID (See column 5, lines 47-49. Alias or host ID is part of the header negotiated between end points and link 10 which is a wireless network or access point).

Regarding claim 9, Rajeev disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: synchronizing said voice packets, prior to said concatenating (See column 12, lines 1-6).

Regarding claim 10, Rajeev disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said negotiating and said concatenating are effected only by providing, in said point-to-multipoint network, a voice over IP gateway operative to effect said concatenating and

Art Unit: 2667

then effecting said negotiating and said concatenating using said voice over IP gateway (See figures 1, 2A, 2B. See column 5, lines 1-8, 21-40, 47-49. Alias or host ID is part of the header negotiated between end points and link 10, which is part of a wireless network. VoIP gateway can be part of the VoIP wireless network).

Regarding claim 11, Rajeev disclosed a system for transmitting a plurality of voice packets from respective end points to an access point comprising: a voice-over-ip gateway for: negotiating with the access point (See figure 1. See column 4, lines 66-67; column 5, lines 1-9. Network can be wireless network comprising of all necessary network elements used in wireless network like the VoIP gateway or/and access point. See column 4, lines 55-58. Network will support VoIP over the data network), a respective alias for each end point (See column 5, lines 47-49. Alias or host identifier is part of the header for each end point), receiving from each end point, a respective voice payload (See fig. 2A-2B), and concatenating a single super packet header with said aliases and with said voice payloads to form a super packet (See fig. 1, 2A, 2B. See column 5, lines 21-38); and a mechanism for transmitting said super packet to the access point (See figures 1. See column 5, lines 1-8. Access point is part of the wireless network).

Regarding claim 12, Rajeev disclosed the system of claim 11, wherein said mechanism includes a wireless point-to-multipoint network (See figure 1. See column 5, lines 15-20).

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claim 13, Rajeev disclosed the system of claim 11, wherein said mechanism includes a cable TV point-to-multipoint network (See figure 1. See column 5, lines 1-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajeev in view of the background and the admitted prior art of the applicant's own placation 09/923,419, hereinafter, referred to as Eran.

Regarding claim 2 & 14, Rajeev disclosed a method of transmitting a plurality of voice communications from respective end points to an access point as described above.

Rajeev, however, fails to expressly disclose the method, wherein said point-tomultipoint network is configured according to OSI layer 2.

Eran disclosed in the specification this prior art would be configured in according with OSI layer 2 requirements (See page 5, lines 6-7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make sure that any improvement in the header compression

Art Unit: 2667

would still comply to the OSI layer 2 protocol, the motivation being that by complying to the OSI layer 2 protocol, one would still maintain the original deign of transporting VoIP packets to the destination point.

Regarding claim 4, Rajeev, however, fails to disclose the method, wherein said voice payloads are G.729 payloads.

Eran disclosed in the background art of the application that payloads would comply with G.729 recommendation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make sure that voice packets would comply with G.729, the motivation being that by complying with G.729, one would comply with the standard of transporting voice over data packets.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. RFC 2508, Compressing IP/UDP/RTP headers for low speed serial links.
 - b. RFC 2507, IP Header compression.
 - c. RFC 1144, Compressing TCP/IP headers for low speed serial links.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,419 Page 9

Art Unit: 2667

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VL

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 &